

Certain Subclass of Meromorphic Valent Functions Defined by Convolution with Positive Coefficients

A. A. Hussain¹, A. O. Mostafa² and M. K. Aouf³
e-mail: aisha84_hussain@yahoo.com

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Education, Sirte University, Libya

^{2,3}Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Mansura University, Egypt

Abstract

In this paper we introduce and study a subclass $R_p(f, g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$ of meromorphic p -valent functions in $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0 < |z| < 1\}$. Therefore we obtain some coefficient estimates, distortion theorems, convex linear combinations and radius of convexity for functions belonging to the subclass $R_p(f, g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. Also we derive several interesting results involving Hadamard product (or convolution) of functions belonging to this subclass.

Keywords: p -valent Meromorphic functions, Convolution.

1. Introduction

The class of meromorphic functions which are analytic and p -valent in $\mathbb{U}^* = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\}$ and has the form:

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{p+n} z^{p+n}, \quad (a_{p+n} \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, \dots\}), \quad (1.1)$$

is denoted by Σ_p^* . For $f(z)$ in this form and $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^*$ given by

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{p+n} z^{p+n}, \quad (p \in \mathbb{N}), \quad (1.2)$$

the Hadamard product (or convolution) of $f(z)$ and $g(z)$ is given by

$$(f * g)(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{p+n} b_{p+n} z^{p+n} = (g * f)(z). \tag{1.3}$$

For $0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1, p \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g(z) \in \Sigma_p^*$ we say that $f(z) \in R_p(f, g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$ if it satisfies:

$$\left| \frac{z^{p+1}(f * g)'(z) + p}{(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1}(f * g)'(z) + (2\zeta\alpha - p)} \right| < \beta (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \tag{1.4}$$

$$g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p + \lambda n}{p}\right)^k z^{p+n}, (\lambda \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}).$$

Putting $g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p + \lambda n}{p}\right)^k z^{p+n}, (\lambda \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\})$ in (1.4), we obtain the class $F_{p,\lambda}^m(\alpha, \beta, \zeta)$ studied earlier (see [2]).

We also note that, for different choices of $g(z)$ we have the following new classes:

(i) $R_p\left(\frac{z^{-p}}{1-z}, \alpha, \zeta, \beta\right) = R_{p,\zeta}(\alpha, \beta)$

$$\left\{ f \in \sum_p^* : \left| \frac{z^{p+1}(f(z))' + p}{(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1}(f(z))' + (2\zeta\gamma - p)} \right| < \beta \right\};$$

$(0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1)$

(ii) $R_p(z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Gamma_n(\alpha_1)z^n; \alpha, \zeta, \beta) = R_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1, \gamma, \zeta, \beta)$

$$\left\{ f \in \sum_p^* : \left| \frac{z^{p+1}(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + p}{(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1}(H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)f(z))' + (2\zeta\gamma - p)} \right| < \beta \right\};$$

$(\alpha_j > 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, q), \beta_j > 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, s))$
 $0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1)$

Where

$$\Gamma_m(\alpha_1) = \frac{(\alpha_1)_m \dots (\alpha_q)_m}{(\beta_1)_m \dots (\beta_s)_m} \frac{1}{m!}$$

$$(a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ a(a+1)(a+2) \dots (a+k-1) & k \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

and $H_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1)$ was introduced and studied by Liu-Srivastava [8];

$$(iii) R_p \left(z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta_1)_{n+p} \dots (\beta_s)_{n+p} (\lambda+p)_{n+p}}{(\alpha_1)_{n+p} \dots (\alpha_l)_{n+p}} z^n; \alpha, \zeta, \beta \right) = R_{p,\lambda}(\alpha_1, \gamma, \zeta, \beta)$$

$$\left\{ f \in \sum_p^* : \left| \frac{z^{p+1} \left(M_{p,l,s}^\lambda(\alpha_1) f(z) \right)' + p}{(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1} \left(M_{p,l,s}^\lambda(\alpha_1) f(z) \right)' + (2\zeta\gamma - p)} \right| < \beta \right. \\ \left. \begin{matrix} (\beta_j > 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, s), \alpha_j > 0 (j = 1, 2, \dots, l), \\ 0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1; \lambda \geq p; z \in \mathbb{U}^*) \end{matrix} \right\}$$

where the operator $M_{p,l,s}^\lambda(\alpha_1)$ was introduced and studied by Mostafa [9, with $m = 0$];

$$(iv) R_p \left(z^{-p} + \frac{\Gamma(v)}{\Gamma(\lambda+v)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(\lambda+v+n)(\mu)_n}{\Gamma(k+v)(1)_n} z^{n-p}; \alpha, \zeta, \beta \right) = R_{p,\mu}^{\lambda,v}(\gamma, \zeta, \beta)$$

$$\left\{ f \in \sum_p^* : \left| \frac{z^{p+1} \left(H_{p,v,\mu}^\lambda f(z) \right)' + p}{(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1} \left(H_{p,v,\mu}^\lambda f(z) \right)' + (2\zeta\gamma - p)} \right| < \beta \right. \\ \left. \begin{matrix} (\lambda \geq 0; \mu > 0; v > -1; 0 \leq \gamma < p; 0 < \beta \leq 1; \\ \frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1; z \in \mathbb{U}^*) \end{matrix} \right\}$$

where the operator $H_{p,v,\mu}^\lambda$ was introduced and studied by Mostafa [10].

For more details of meromorphic multivalent functions (see [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], [11] and [13]).

2. Coefficient Estimates

In the reminder of this paper we assume that:

$$0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 < \beta \leq 1, \frac{1}{2} \leq \zeta \leq 1, b_{p+n} > 0, n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \text{ and } p \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Theorem1 . A function $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)a_{p+n}b_{p+n} \leq 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha) \tag{2.1}$$

Proof: Suppose (2.1) holds. For $|z| = r < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |z^{p+1}(f * g)'(z) + p| - \beta|(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1}(f * g)'(z) + (2\zeta\alpha - p)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}z^{2p+n} \right| - \beta \left| 2\zeta(p-\alpha) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)(2\zeta-1)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}z^{2p+n} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}r^{2p+n} - 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha) + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta(p+n)(2\zeta-1)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}r^{2p+n} \\ &< \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}r^{2p+n} - 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha) \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$.

Conversely, suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{(f * g)'(z) + p}{(2\zeta - 1)z^{p+1}(f * g)'(z) + (2\zeta\alpha - p)} \right| \\ & \left| \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}z^{2p+n}}{(2\zeta - 1)(p - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}z^{2p+n}) - (2\zeta\alpha - 1)} \right| < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that $Re(z) \leq |z|$ for all z , we have

$$Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}z^{2p+n}}{2\zeta(p-\alpha) - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)(2\zeta-1)a_{p+n}b_{p+n}z^{2p+n}} \right\} < \beta \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*). \quad (2.2)$$

Now choose the values of z on the real axis so that $z^{p+1}(f * g)'(z)$ is real. Upon clearing the denominator (2.2) and $z \rightarrow 1^-$ through positive values, we obtain (2.1).

Corollary 1. *If $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. Then*

$$a_{p+n} \leq \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}} \quad (2.3)$$

Sharpness holds for

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}} \quad (2.4)$$

3. Distortion Theorems

Theorem 2. *If $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} - \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-m)!} \cdot \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \\ & \leq |f^{(m)}(z)| \leq \left(\frac{(p+m-1)!}{(p-1)!} + \frac{(p-1)!}{(p-m)!} \cdot \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \end{aligned}$$

$$(0 < |z| = r < 1; m \in \mathbb{N}_0; p \in \mathbb{N}, b_{n+p} > 0; p > m).$$

Sharpness holds for

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{p(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_p} z^p.$$

Proof. In view of (2.1), we have

$$\frac{(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p}{p!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p + n)! a_{p+n} \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p + n)(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)a_{p+n}b_{p+n} \leq 2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha),$$

which yields

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p + n)! a_{p+n} \leq \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)p!}{(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p}. \tag{3.1}$$

Now, differentiating both sides of (1.1) m -times with respect to z , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f^m(z) &= (-1)^m \frac{(p + m - 1)!}{(p - 1)!} z^{-(p+m)} \\ &\quad + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p + n)!}{(p + n - m)!} a_{p+n} z^{p+n-m} \quad (m \in \mathbb{N}_0; p \in \mathbb{N}; p + n > m) \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

from (3.1) and (3.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f^m(z)| &\leq \frac{(p + m - 1)!}{(p - 1)!} r^{-(p+m)} + r^{p-m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p + n)!}{(p + n - m)!} a_{p+n} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{(p + m - 1)!}{(p - 1)!} + \frac{p!}{(p - m)!} \cdot \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)}{(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |f^m(z)| &\geq \frac{(p + m - 1)!}{(p - 1)!} r^{-(p+m)} - r^{p-m} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p + n)!}{(p + n - m)!} a_{p+n} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{(p + m - 1)!}{(p - 1)!} - \frac{p!}{(p - m)!} \cdot \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)}{(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p} r^{2p} \right) r^{-(p+m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2 is completed.

4. Radius of Convexity

Theorem 3. Let $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. Then $f(z)$ is meromorphically p -valent convex of order σ ($0 \leq \sigma < p$) in $|z| < r$ where

$$r = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{(p - \sigma)(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)(n + 3p - \sigma)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2p+n}} \quad (n \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{4.1}$$

The function $f(z)$ given by (2.4) gives the sharpness.

Proof. We must show that

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq (p - \sigma) \text{ for } |z| < r,$$

where r is given by (4.1). Indeed we find from (1.1) that

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq \frac{\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p + n)(2p + n)a_{p+n}|z|^{2p+n}}{p - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p + n)a_{p+n}|z|^{2p+n}}.$$

Thus

$$\left| \frac{(zf'(z))' + pf'(z)}{f'(z)} \right| \leq p - \sigma,$$

if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(p + n)(n + 3p - \sigma)}{(p - \sigma)} \right) a_{p+n}|z|^{2p+n} \leq 1. \tag{4.2}$$

But, by Theorem 1, (4.2) will be true if

$$\left(\frac{(n + 3p - \sigma)}{(p - \sigma)} \right) |z|^{2p+n} \leq \frac{(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)},$$

that is, if

$$|z| \leq \left\{ \frac{(p-\sigma)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{(p+n)(n+3p-\sigma)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{2p+n}} \quad (n \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}). \tag{4.3}$$

Theorem 3 follows easily from (4.3).

5. Closure Theorems

Theorem 4. Let

$$f_{p-1}(z) = z^{-p} \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$f_{p+n}(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}} z^{p+n} \quad (n \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{5.2}$$

Then $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \psi_{p+n} f_{p+n}(z), \tag{5.3}$$

where $\psi_{p+n} \geq 0$ and $\sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \psi_{p+n} = 1$.

Proof. Suppose that $f(z) = \sum_{n=-1}^{\infty} \psi_{p+n} f_{p+n}(z)$. Then

$$f(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}} \psi_{p+n} z^{p+n}.$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n} \cdot \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}} \psi_{p+n} \\ &= 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{p+n} = 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)(1 - \psi_{p+n}) \\ & \leq 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1, $f(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$.

Conversely, assume that $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) $\in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. Then (2.4) holds. Setting

$$\psi_{p+n} = \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} a_{p+n} \quad (n \geq 0; p \in \mathbb{N}) \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\psi_{p-1} = 1 - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \psi_{p+n},$$

we thus have (5.3). This evidently completes the proof of Theorem 4 .

Theorem 5. The class $R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combination.

Proof. Suppose each of the functions

$$f_i(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{p+n,i} z^{p+n}. \quad (a_{p+n,i} \geq 0, i = 1,2), \tag{5.5}$$

are in the class $R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. It is sufficient to show that the function $w(z)$ defined by

$$w(z) = (1-s)f_1(z) + sf_2(z) \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1) \tag{5.6}$$

is also in the class $R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. Since

$$w(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(1-s)a_{p+n,1} + sa_{p+n,2}] z^{p+n} \quad (0 \leq s \leq 1).$$

In view of Theorem1 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n} [(1-s)a_{p+n,1} + sa_{p+n,2}] \\ = & (1-s) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}] a_{p+n,1} + s \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}] a_{p+n,2} \\ \leq & (1-s)2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha) + 2s\beta\zeta(p-\alpha) = 2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that $w(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$. and hence the proof of Theorem 5 is completed.

6. Convolution Properties

Theorem 6. Let $f_i(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) (i = 1, 2)$, where $f_i(z) (i = 1, 2)$ are in the form (5.5)

· Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in R_p(g, \omega, \zeta, \beta)$, where

$$\omega = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)^2}{p(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p}. \quad (6.1)$$

Sharpness holds for

$$f_i(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)}{p(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p} z^p (i = 1, 2). \quad (6.2)$$

Proof. Using the technique for analytic functions (see [12]), we need to find the largest real parameter ω such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\omega)} a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2} \leq 1.$$

Since $f_i(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta) (i = 1, 2)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} a_{p+n,1} \leq 1$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} a_{p+n,2} \leq 1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2}} \leq 1. \quad (6.3)$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\omega)} a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2} \\ & \leq \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} \sqrt{a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2}} \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2}} \leq \frac{(p-\omega)}{(p-\alpha)}.$$

Hence, in the light of the inequality (6.3), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}} \leq \frac{(p-\omega)}{(p-\alpha)} \tag{6.4}$$

It follows from (6.4) that

$$\omega = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)^2}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}.$$

Let

$$G(n) = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)^2}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}},$$

then $G(n)$ is increasing function of $n(n \geq 0)$. Therefore, we conclude that ,

$$\omega \leq G(0) = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)^2}{p(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_p}$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 6 is completed.

Theorem 7. Let $f_1(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$ and $f_2(z) \in R_p(g, \delta, \zeta, \beta)$ where $f_i(z)(i = 1,2)$ are in the form (5.5) . Then $(f_1 * f_2)(z) \in R_p(g, \varphi, \zeta, \beta)$, where

$$\varphi = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)(p-\delta)}{p(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_p}.$$

Sharpness holds for

$$f_1(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)}{p(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p} z^p$$

and

$$f_2(z) = z^{-p} + \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \delta)}{p(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p} z^p$$

Proof. We need to find the largest real parameter φ such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\varphi)} a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2} \leq 1.$$

Since $f_1(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} a_{p+n,1} \leq 1,$$

and $f_2(z) \in R_p(g, \delta, \zeta, \beta)$, we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\delta)} a_{p+n,2} \leq 1.$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta\sqrt{(p-\alpha)}\sqrt{(p-\delta)}} \sqrt{a_{p+n,1}a_{p+n,2}} \leq 1. \tag{6.5}$$

Thus it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\varphi)} a_{p+n,1} a_{p+n,2} \\ & \leq \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta\sqrt{(p-\alpha)}\sqrt{(p-\delta)}} \sqrt{a_{p+n,1}a_{p+n,2}} \end{aligned}$$

or, equivalently, that

$$\sqrt{a_{p+n,1}a_{p+n,2}} \leq \frac{(p - \varphi)}{\sqrt{(p - \alpha)}\sqrt{(p - \delta)}}.$$

Hence, in the light of the inequality (6.5), it is sufficient to prove that

$$\frac{2\beta\zeta\sqrt{(p - \alpha)}\sqrt{(p - \delta)}}{(p + n)(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_{p+n}} \leq \frac{(p - \varphi)}{\sqrt{(p - \alpha)}\sqrt{(p - \delta)}}. \tag{6.6}$$

It follows from (6.6) that

$$\varphi \leq p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)(p - \delta)}{(p + n)(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_{p+n}}.$$

Let

$$M(n) = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)(p - \delta)}{(p + n)(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_{p+n}},$$

then $M(n)$ is increasing function of $n(n \geq 0)$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$\varphi \leq M(0) = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)(p - \delta)}{p(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p}$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 7 is completed.

Theorem 8. Let $f_i(z) \in R_p(g, \alpha, \zeta, \beta)(i = 1,2)$ where $f_i(z)(i = 1,2)$ are in the form (5.5) .

Then

$$h(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (a^2_{p+n,1} + a^2_{p+n,2})z^{p+n},$$

belongs to the class $R_p(g, \alpha, \eta, \beta)$

$$\eta = p - \frac{4\beta\zeta(p - \alpha)^2}{p(1 + 2\beta\zeta - \beta)b_p}$$

Sharpness holds for $f_i(z)(i = 1,2)$ defined by (6.2).

Proof. By using Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} \right\}^2 a_{p+n,1}^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} a_{p+n,1} \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (6.7)$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} \right\}^2 a_{p+n,2}^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} a_{p+n,2} \right\}^2 \leq 1 \quad (6.8)$$

It follows from (6.7) and (6.8) that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} \right\}^2 (a_{p+n,1}^2 + a_{p+n,2}^2) \leq 1.$$

Therefore, we need to find the largest η such that

$$\frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\eta)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}}{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)} \right\}^2.$$

Let

$$H(n) = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)^2}{(p+n)(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_{p+n}},$$

then $H(n)$ is increasing function of $n(n \geq 0)$ Therefore, we conclude that

$$\eta \leq H(0) = p - \frac{2\beta\zeta(p-\alpha)^2}{p(1+2\beta\zeta-\beta)b_p}.$$

and hence the proof of Theorem 8 is completed.

Remarks (i) Putting $g(z) = z^{-p} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p+\lambda n}{p}\right)^k z^{p+n}$ ($\lambda \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N}, k \in \mathbb{N}_0$),

in our results we obtain the results obtained by Aouf [2].

(ii) Specializing the function $g(z)$ in our results, we obtain new results associated to the classes $R_{p,\zeta}(\alpha, \beta)$, $R_{p,q,s}(\alpha_1, \gamma, \zeta, \beta)$ and $R_{p,\mu}^{\lambda,\nu}(\gamma, \zeta, \beta)$ defined in the introduction.

References

- [1] M. K. Aouf, A generalization of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, 35(1990), 609-614.
- [2] M. K. Aouf, A class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 12(2008), no. 9, 2517-2533.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, Certain subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with generalized hypergeometric function, *Comput., Math. Appl.*, 55(2008), 494-509.
- [4] M. K. Aouf, The generalized hypergeometric function and associated families of meromorphically multivalent functions, *J. Math. Ineq.*, 3(2009), no. 1, 43-62.
- [5] M. K. Aouf and H. M. Hossen, New criteria for meromorphic p -valent starlike functions, *Tsukuba J. Math.*, 17(1993), 481-486.
- [6] M. K. Aouf, H. M. Hossen and H. E. Elattar, A certain class of meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients with fixed second coefficients, *Punjab Univ. J. Math.*, 33(2000), 115-124.
- [7] S. B. Joshi and M. K. Aouf, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients and fixed second coefficients, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, 35(1995), 163-169.
- [8] J. L. Liu and H. M. Srivastava, Subclasses of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with certain linear operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 39 (2004), 35-44.
- [9] A. O. Mostafa, Applications of differential subordination to certain Subclasses of p -valent meromorphic functions involving a certain operator, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 54(2011), 1486-1498.
- [10] A. O. Mostafa, Inclusion results for certain subclasses of p -valent meromorphic functions associated with a new operator, *J. Ineq. Appl.*, 169(2012), 1-14.
- [11] S. Owa, H. E. Darwish and M. K. Aouf, Meromorphic multivalent functions with positive coefficients and fixed second coefficients, *Math. Japon.*, 46(1997), no. 2, 231-236.
- [12] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of univalent functions with negative coefficients, *Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, 29(1975), 99-106.
- [13] H. M. Srivastava, H. M. Hossen and M. K. Aouf, A unified presentation of some classes of meromorphically multivalent functions, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 38(1999), 63-70.